

Public RDP ~~II~~ 1915 Dept

Memo No 801 1715-1 09/4/11

Passel
vm

Ref.C.No. 485

14 JAN 1955
501

Project Executive Office, S.K.
Mangalore, dated 9-1-1955.

From
Sri. H. Narasimha,
Project Executive Officer,
South Kanara, Mangalore.

The Director of Community
Development, MADRAS.

Sir,

COMMUNITY PROJECT---South Kanara--Works entrusted to
Panchayats--Restriction on drawal of amounts--Relaxation of rule--
Requested.

A no. of works under Community Project Scheme are being entrusted to Panchayats for execution whenever the Panchayats contribute. It is represented that there is a general restriction for drawing of amounts from their savings accounts and according to the present restrictions I & II class Panchayats cannot have more than Rs. 2,000/- and Rs. 1,000/- respectively at their credit in the ~~xx~~ savings account. Since there are a no. of works where the Panchayats contribution exceed Rs. 2,000/- the present restriction will retard ~~x~~ the progress of work as they cannot have money at their disposal over and above the monetary limits prescribed and this has adversely effected the prompt payment and speedy execution of works by the Panchayats.

In the above circumstances, I submit that the general restriction regarding the drawal of amounts by the Panchayats in case of Community Project works entrusted to them may be relaxed so that larger amounts may be at their credit to facilitate drawal of amounts in the interest of speedy execution of Community Project works.

Yours faithfully,

H. Narasimha
11.1.55

Project Executive Officer.

Copy to the Panchayat Officer, South Kanara
for information.

JC/10-1-55.

J
11/1

Pl. more
of
9/4.

Memorandum No. 501 ROP/55 dt 9.4.55
Subj: C.B. - S. K. Manjunath
submitted to Pandharyappa - P. E. O.
on demand of amounts.
P.E.O. Mangalore
Ref: - From the collector of Sub. Mangalore
C.No 485/54 dt 9.1.55.

A copy of (M)

The attention of the P.E.O. Mangalore
is invited to the instructions issued in
para 2 of G.O. No 569 Pub dt 24.2.55.
He is requested to examine and
state separately whether the difficulty
expressed by him in the letter ~~also~~ will
still ~~be~~ ^{be} in view of the orders ^{above}
in the ~~case~~ ^{case} and if so, to submit
his proposal through
the Collector ^{of} M.P.
Ch ^{to} local S.Os.

To
the P.E.O. Mangalore.

CENTRAL DESPATCH BRANCH
Van. ☒
Post ☒
Despatched by Regd. Post.
A Messenger ☒
Despatched by ☒
9/4/55

Note: Please see the letter ^{para 4 of}
+ the orders passed in G.O. No 569 Pub dt
24.2.55. In view of the orders, it is ruled
that the collector may not feel any such
difficulty as ~~stated~~ stated by him. He
may be asked to ~~also~~ examine and
report separately whether the difficulty
still arises. Subject to orders a draft
memo is ruled for approval.

6/4/55
6.4.55

9/4/55

(Issued as memo. No. 501 ROP/55 dt 9.4.55)